

HIGHLIGHTS

GOLD PRODUCTION

- Total gold production for the quarter of 71,991 ounces at a cash cost of production A\$744* per ounce prior to royalties.

* Cash cost reported only for 63,712 ounces of production for the quarter from Garden Well and Moolart Well. No cost reported on the 8,259 ounces of Rosemont commissioning production.

MOOLART WELL OPERATIONS

- Gold production of 26,506 ounces for the quarter (Sep 13 qtr: 25,403 oz).
- Cash cost of production A\$566 per ounce prior to royalties (Sep 13 qtr: A\$605/oz).
- Significant milestone achieved during the quarter with 10th tonne of gold poured.

GARDEN WELL OPERATIONS

- Gold production of 37,206 ounces for the quarter (Sep 13 qtr: 44,475 oz).
- Cash cost of production A\$870 per ounce prior to royalties (Sep 13 qtr: A\$755/oz).

ROSEMONT GOLD PROJECT

- Rosemont Stage 1 construction completed and commissioning commenced in October 2013.
- Gold production from commissioning phase of 8,259 ounces during the quarter.
- Commencement of construction activities for Rosemont Stage 2 plant expansion.

EXPLORATION

- Significant drill results from RC drilling programme at the Rosemont Gold Project including:

23 metres @ 3.92 g/t gold from 174 to 197m	17 metres @ 3.71 g/t gold from 179 to 196m
12 metres @ 3.64 g/t gold from 207 to 219m	19 metres @ 1.76 g/t gold from 129 to 148m

CORPORATE

- Gold sales of 73,487 ounces at a delivered price of A\$1,493 per ounce (Sep 13: 72,079 oz at A\$1,477/oz).
- Cash flow from operations for the quarter was \$44.7 million (Sep 13: \$57.1m).
- Cash and gold bullion holding at 31 December 2013 was \$13.2 million (Sep 13: \$92.5m).
- Drawdown of \$10 million working capital facility to fund significant capital expenditures during the quarter.
- Regis paid a 15 cent per share fully franked dividend (\$74.7 million) in relation to the 2013 financial year on 25 October 2013.

MOOLART WELL OPERATIONS

Production

Moolart Well Gold Mine operating results for the December 2013 quarter were as follows:

	Dec 2013	Sep 2013	Jun 2013
Ore mined (tonnes)	704,511	743,892	653,959
Ore milled (tonnes)	687,537	720,795	664,594
Head grade (g/t)	1.28	1.19	1.32
Recovery (%)	94	92	93
Gold production (ounces)	26,506	25,403	26,031
Cash cost per ounce (A\$/oz) – pre royalties	A\$566	A\$605	A\$580
Cash cost per ounce (A\$/oz) – incl royalties	A\$634	A\$666	A\$643

Regis completed a strong quarter of operations at the Moolart Well Gold Mine producing 26,506 ounces of gold at a pre-royalty cash cost of production of A\$566 per ounce. Operating costs were 6% lower than the previous quarter due to an 8% higher milled head grade. Gold production for the half year ended 31 December 2013 was 51,909 ounces at a pre-royalty cash cost of \$585 per ounces.

During the quarter 316,000 bcm of ore and 1,255,000 bcm of waste were mined from the Moolart Well open pits for a total material movement of 1.57 million bcm. Of the total material mined, 243,000 bcm was mined from laterite pits and 1,327,000 bcm was mined from the Lancaster and Stirling oxide deposits.

GARDEN WELL OPERATIONS

Production

Operating results at the Garden Well Gold Mine for December 2013 quarter were as follows:

	Dec 2013	Sep 2013	Jun 2013
Ore mined (tonnes)	1,742,912	1,514,271	1,265,326
Ore milled (tonnes)	1,227,736	1,307,371	1,270,825
Head grade (g/t)	1.12	1.20	1.35
Recovery (%)	84	88	85
Gold production (ounces)	37,206	44,475	46,103
Cash cost per ounce (A\$/oz) – pre royalties	A\$870	A\$755	A\$718
Cash cost per ounce (A\$/oz) – incl royalties	A\$939	A\$816	A\$787

Milling

Operations at Garden Well for the December 2013 quarter produced 37,206 ounces of gold at a pre-royalty cash cost of A\$870 per ounce. As reported on 14th January 2014, gold production for the quarter was below guidance of 40,000 – 45,000 ounces largely as a result of the lower head grade and issues and complexities around the tie in and ramp up of the Rosemont ore flow to the Garden Well wet plant. The head grade milled for the quarter, at 1.12g/t gold, was also lower than the previous quarter of 1.2g/t. As a result the cash cost per ounce, at \$870/oz, was higher than the previous quarter, however the cost per tonne milled of \$26.36/t, was in line with the 25.70/t achieved in the September 2013 quarter.

Rosemont was commissioned and commenced pumping ore to the Garden Well plant in October 2013. The combined throughput of Garden Well and Rosemont ores through the Garden Well wet plant was an effective 6.4 million tonnes per annum during November and December 2013. Encouragingly the throughput of Rosemont ore through the slurry pipeline during this commissioning and ramp up phase has already reached 1.6 mtpa.

It has become apparent that the current Garden Well mill configuration, prior to completion of the Rosemont stage 2 development in the June 2014 quarter, is constrained to some degree for gold recovery rates at the current increased throughput rate in excess of 6mtpa. This was borne out with the Garden Well (inclusive of Rosemont) throughput during the quarter at 6.1 mtpa and gold recovery at 84%. Rosemont ore was introduced to the circuit on 20 October 2013 and the higher gravity gold component of this ore had an immediate negative effect on recovery. Modifications to the gravity circuit (as flagged in the September 2013 quarterly report) were completed in late November 2013 but had only a limited impact on recovery during the quarter as the circuit continued to be optimised.

With Rosemont now commissioned and on line, the main focus at the two operations in the March 2014 quarter will be optimising the interim Garden Well plant configuration to maximise production until completion of the leaching circuit and associated plant upgrade ("Rosemont stage 2") in the June 2014 quarter.

Mining

During the December 2013 quarter 676,025 bcm of ore and 3.31 million bcm of waste (inclusive of pre-strip) were mined from the Garden Well open pit for a total material movement of 3.99 million bcm. Mining of ore was largely in the Stage 3 fresh zone of the open pit down to the 390m RL and the fresh zone of the stage 1 pit. Small lower grade blocks were also mined from the stage 4 and 5 cutbacks to the open pit. In addition a total of 700,000 bcm of pre-strip waste was mined from the stage 4 and 5 cutbacks to the open pit down to the 477.5m RL.

Mining Reconciliation

In the September 2013 quarterly report the Company reported results of 20m x 20m infill drilling to increase the density of data on the Garden Well reserve for ore scheduled to be mined for the next year. This infill drilling model ("IDM") returned 7.27mt at 1.20g/t for 279,622 ounces compared to the reserve of 6.39mt at 1.32g/t for 270,703 ounces. With higher ounces reported in the IDM, it is expected that there will be an opportunity to stockpile lower grade ore blocks and achieve close to the reserve grade through the mill.

As previously reported, November and December 2013 were the first two months of actual mining based on this IDM. Actual mining output for the two months was 1.29 million tonnes at 1.02g/t gold for 42,416 ounces, compared to the IDM of 1.04Mt at 1.19g/t gold for 39,760 ounces. Accordingly, mining generated 2,656 ounces (7%) more than the IDM, but at 24% more tonnes and 14% lower grade.

Given the positive reconciliation to the IDM (for ounces), the ore was separated on the stockpile as follows:

Ore Classification	Tonnes (000's)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces
Available for immediate milling	970	1.20	37,357
Low grade for stockpiling	321	0.49	5,059
	1,291	1.02	42,416

This shows that the high grade portion of the mined ore in November and December 2013, at the same grade as the IDM (1.20g/t v 1.19g/t) was within 6% of the ounces in the IDM. Milled grade for the quarter of 1.12g/t was impacted by lower grade in October 2013 as mining went through the remnants of the depletion zone in the stage 3 pit.

Updated Production Guidance

It is expected that Garden Well Gold project production for each of the March and June 2014 quarters will be in the order of 40,000 – 45,000 ounces during the period that the plant is in the interim configuration until the Rosemont stage 2 development is completed and commissioned in the June 2014 quarter.

ROSEMONT DEVELOPMENT AND OPERATIONS

Development

Development of the project was completed during the quarter, with the following key milestones achieved:

- Commissioning of the crushing circuit and ball mill on 19 October 2013;
- Plant continuously running from 21 October 2013; and
- First ore pumped through to Garden Well processing facility on 20 October 2013.

The project was completed on time and for a total capital cost in line with the budgeted cost of \$55 million.

Production

Operating results at the Rosemont Gold Mine for the limited period of commissioning and ramp up of operations in the December 2013 quarter were as follows:

Ore mined (tonnes)	299,439
Ore milled (tonnes)	305,714
Head grade (g/t)	0.99
Recovery (%)	85
Gold production (ounces)	8,259

The milled grade at Rosemont for the first quarter of operations, at 0.99g/t gold, was impacted by the selective treatment of low grade ore during commissioning of the plant and the mining of small, stringy ore blocks primarily in the depletion zone of the northern starter pit. Operating costs and associated gold sales from the Rosemont Gold Project during this commissioning phase have been capitalised.

Mining

During the December 2013 quarter 170,444 of ore and 3.93 million bcm of waste (inclusive of pre-strip) were mined from the Rosemont Gold Project for a total material movement of 4.1 million bcm. Mining of ore was predominately in the Rosemont North Pit down to the 475m RL accessing the oxide zone of the deposit with some mining in the Rosemont main pit down to the 482.5m RL. Of the total material mined, 974,000 bcm was mined from the Rosemont

North Pit and 3.13 bcm was mined from the Rosemont Main Pit. In total 2.4 million bcm of pre-strip material was mined from both pits.

Stage 2 Development

In July 2013 Regis announced the Stage 2 of the Rosemont development being the construction of additional leaching and associated infrastructure at the Garden Well processing plant to cater for the maximum ore flow from Rosemont. Stage 2 is expected to cost \$20 million and to be completed in the June 2014 quarter. In the December 2013 quarter erection of the four additional leaching tanks commenced. Tank erection is expected to be completed imminently with painting and top of tank steelwork to be completed in the March 2014 quarter. All major equipment purchases have been made. In addition concrete works that commenced in the previous quarter were completed by the end of the December 2013 quarter. To the end of the December 2013 quarter \$4.2 million had been spent on the Stage 2 development.

EXPLORATION

Overview

Limited field work was conducted on exploration projects during the December 2013 quarter. No further drilling was conducted at the McPhillamys deposit during the quarter as geological interpretation and wireframing of the McPhillamys mineralised ore zone continued as part of the programme to update the Resource and allow the estimation of a maiden Reserve.

The only exploration drilling conducted across the tenement package was RC drilling at the Rosemont and Moolart Well deposits of the Duketon Gold Project in Western Australia.

McPhillamys Gold Deposit

No further drilling was conducted at the McPhillamys Gold Project during the quarter with work focussed on evaluating the analytical results previously received, the local geology and the alteration and structure of the deposit to help define an updated resource. Pre-feasibility work continued on the project including base line environmental studies and metallurgical test work.

Moolart Well Gold Deposit

The Moolart Well deposit has significant Inferred oxide resources north of the Stirling and Lancaster open pits. During the quarter a further nine RC holes were drilled for 1,740 metres to complete the programme of infill drilling at the Lancaster and North Stirling deposits. This programme was designed on a 25 by 25 metre drill grid basis as part of the programme to convert inferred resources to indicated category. Significant results from this RC drilling and results now received for Aircore drilling performed in the previous quarter are shown below:

Table 1 Moolart Well Aircore Drilling

Hole No	Northing (mN)	Easting (mE)	Hole Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Gold g/t
RRLMWAC3018	6945223	435442	78	39	42	3	13.03
RRLMWAC3018	6945223	435442	78	46	50	4	4.06
RRLMWAC3020	6945174	435392	73	38	41	3	4.52
RRLMWAC3022	6945121	435394	64	36	44	8	2.33
RRLMWAC3023	6945173	435102	76	43	44	1	9.2
RRLMWAC3028	6945274	435079	58	55	56	1	9.47
RRLMWAC3033	6945322	435276	92	73	79	6	1.59
RRLMWAC3040	6945524	435224	74	42	46	4	2.21

All coordinates are AGD 84. Holes drilled at -60° to 270°

All Intercepts calculated using a 0.5g/t lower cut, no upper cut, maximum 2m internal dilution.

All assays determined on 1m split samples by fire assay

Table 2 Moolart Well RC Drilling

Hole No	Northing (mN)	Easting (mE)	Hole Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Gold g/t
RRLMWRC1077	6944929	435676	150	137	149	12	1.38
RRLMWRC1082	6944750	435694	125	71	84	13	0.69
RRLMWRC1083	6944720	435708	140	69	78	9	1.82
RRLMWRC1084	6944699	435712	190	157	167	10	1.58
RRLMWRC1085	6944568	435709	180	46	53	7	1.54
RRLMWRC1086	6944599	435700	179	40	43	3	2.81
RRLMWRC1086	6944599	435700	179	126	130	4	32.37
RRLMWRC1086	6944599	435700	179	133	135	2	18.72
RRLMWRC1087	6944675	435727	200	165	170	5	1.76
RRLMWRC1088	6944472	435855	180	125	132	7	1.63
RRLMWRC1088	6944472	435855	180	141	148	7	1.14
RRLMWRC1088	6944472	435855	180	165	171	6	1.72
RRLMWRC1089	6944527	435849	190	92	95	3	3.15
RRLMWRC1089	6944527	435849	190	147	155	8	1.87

All coordinates are AGD 84. Holes drilled at -60° to 270°

All Intercepts calculated using a 0.5g/t lower cut, no upper cut, maximum 2m internal dilution.

All assays determined on 1m split samples by fire assay

Rosemont Gold Deposit

RC resource drilling continued south of the main Rosemont open pit to test for extensions of gold mineralisation to the current Reserve. A Total of 12 RC holes were drilled for 1,739 metres during the quarter.

Significant results from the RC drilling at Rosemont South during the quarter are shown below:

Table 3 Rosemont RC Drilling

Hole No	Northing (mN)	Easting (mE)	Hole Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Gold g/t
RRLRMRC224	6918659	429109	150	109	124	15	1.45
RRLRMRC224	6918659	429109	150	128	129	1	11.95
RRLRMRC226	6918819	428962	74	35	40	5	1.99
RRLRMRC226	6918819	428962	74	43	56	13	0.74
RRLRMRC227	6918857	428951	64	32	39	7	1.22
RRLRMRC228	6918872	428992	169	98	107	9	1.52
RRLRMRC228	6918872	428992	169	145	151	6	1.72
RRLRMRC228	6918872	428992	169	154	156	2	4.05
RRLRMRC231	6919068	428944	219	174	197	23	3.92
RRLRMRC232	6918869	428863	233	179	196	17	3.71
RRLRMRC232	6918869	428863	233	207	219	12	3.64
RRLRMRC233	6918794	428893	199	129	148	19	1.76
RRLRMRC233	6918794	428893	199	153	166	13	0.84

All coordinates are AGD 84. Holes RRLRMRC224-231 and 234-235 drilled at -60° to 250° and holes RRLRMRC232-233 drilled at -60° to 078°

All Intercepts calculated using a 0.5g/t lower cut, no upper cut, maximum 2m internal dilution.

All assays determined on 1m split samples by fire assay

CORPORATE

Gold Sales & Hedging

The Company had a hedging position at the end of the quarter of 185,790 ounces, being 116,751 ounces of flat forward contracts with a delivery price of A\$1,426 per ounce and 69,039 ounces of spot deferred contracts with a price of A\$1,397 per ounce. The Company also has sold a gold call option for 50,000 ounces, expiring 30 June 2014 at a strike price of A\$1,400 per ounce.

During the December 2013 quarter, Regis sold 73,487 ounces of gold at an average price of A\$1,493 per ounce (Sep 13 qtr: 72,079 ounces at A\$1,477 per ounce).

Cash Position

As at 31 December 2013 Regis had \$13.2 million in cash and bullion holdings (Sep 2013: \$92.5m). Operating cash flow from the Duketon Gold Project was \$44.7 million for the December 2013 quarter (Sep 2013: \$57.1 million). In October 2013 the Company paid \$74.7 million as a fully franked dividend of 15 cents per share to shareholders.

Capital Expenditures

During the quarter, Regis drew down \$10 million of its financing facility with Macquarie Bank to help fund the capital expenditure commitments of the Company's projects. These expenditures included \$10.5 million to complete Rosemont stage 1 development, \$3.2 million on Rosemont stage 2 development, \$19.9 million on Rosemont pre-production expenditure, and \$11.7 million on Garden Well prestrip mining. These expenditures will reduce significantly over the coming quarters.

Appointment of Non-Executive Director

As announced in the previous quarter, the Company announced the appointment of Mr Frank Fergusson to the Board of Regis. Mr Fergusson is an experienced gold mining industry director and has a long track record of successful operational management.

A copy of the Company's Mining Exploration Entity Quarterly (Appendix 5B) report in accordance with Listing Rule 5.3 is attached.

CORPORATE DIRECTORY

Regis Resources Ltd (ACN 009 174 761)

Registered Office:

First Floor, 1 Alvan Street
Subiaco, WA Australia 6008
Tel +618 9442 2200 Fax +618 9442 2290

Website www.regisresources.com
Email enquiries@regisresources.com

Directors

Mr Mark Clark (Managing Director)
Mr Morgan Hart (Executive Director)
Mr Nick Giorgetta (Non Executive Chairman)
Mr Mark Okeby (Non Executive Director)
Mr Ross Kestel (Non Executive Director)
Mr Frank Fergusson (Non Executive Director)

Company Secretary and CFO

Mr Kim Massey

Share Registry

Computershare Ltd
GPO Box D182
Perth WA 6840
Shareholder Enquiries: 1300 557 010 (local) +613 9415 4000 (international)

ASX Listed Securities (as at 30 September 2013)

Security	Terms	Code	No. Quoted
Ordinary Shares		RRL	497,987,384
Options	Expiry 31 Jan 2014 Exercise price \$0.50	RRLO	1,790,771

COMPLIANCE

The information in this report that relates to exploration results is based on and fairly represents information and supporting documentation that has been compiled by Mr Morgan Hart who is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Hart has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australasian Code for the Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Morgan Hart is a director and full time employee of Regis Resources Ltd and consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The estimate of mineral resources and ore reserves referred to in this report relating to the Garden Well deposit were first announced to the market on 4 July 2013.

The Company confirms it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in those market announcements and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in those market announcements continue to apply and have not been changed.

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well</p> <p>The deposit was sampled using Reverse Circulation (RC) and Aircore (AC) holes on a nominal 25m by 25m grid spacing.</p> <p>Rosemont</p> <p>The deposit was sampled using Reverse Circulation (RC) holes on a nominal 40m by 20m grid spacing</p>
	<p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>Regis drill hole collar locations were picked up by site-based authorized surveyors using Trimble RTK GPS. Down hole surveying was measured by the drilling contractors using Pathfinder survey instrument for RC holes and Eastman Single Shot Camera for the AC holes. The surveys were completed every 30m down each drill hole.</p> <p>Certified standards and blanks were inserted every 25th sample to assess the accuracy and methodology of the external laboratories, and field duplicates were inserted every 20th sample to assess the repeatability and variability of the gold mineralisation. Laboratory duplicates were also completed approximately every 15th sample to assess the precision of the laboratory as well as the repeatability and variability of the gold mineralisation. Results of the QAQC sampling were considered acceptable for an Archaean gold deposit.</p>
	<p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>1m AC samples were obtained by riffle splitter (1.5kg – 2.0kg) and 1m RC samples were obtained by cone splitter (2.5kg – 3.0kg), with both being utilised for lithology logging and assaying</p> <p>All samples were dried, crushed and pulverised to get 85% passing 75µm, and 50g charge for fire assay analysis with AAS finish. Minanalytical has been used.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	<p>Moolart Well</p> <p>AC drilling was used to test for gold mineralisation at shallow depths with hole depths ranging from 50m to 106m deep. An 89mm diameter AC blade was used for AC drilling. RC drilling accounts for testing gold mineralised structures at deeper depths with hole depths ranging from 120m to 260m, with a 139mm diameter face sampling hammer being used.</p> <p>Rosemont</p> <p>RC drilling accounts for 100% of the drilling meters in the resource area with hole depths ranging from 64m to 233m, with a 139mm diameter face sampling hammer being used.</p>
Drill sample recovery	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>RC and AC recovery were visually assessed, with recovery being excellent except in some wet intervals which are recorded on logs.</p>
	Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>RC samples were visually checked for recovery, moisture and contamination. The drilling contractor utilised a cyclone splitter to provide uniform sample size, and these were cleaned routinely (cleaned at the end of each rod and more frequently in wet conditions). A booster was also used in conjunction with the RC drill rig to ensure dry samples are achieved.</p> <p>Moolart Well</p> <p>AC samples were visually checked for recovery, moisture and contamination. The drilling contractor utilised a riffle splitter to provide uniform sample size, and the splitter cleaned routinely after each 1m sample.</p>
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>Sample recoveries for AC and RC holes are high, especially within the mineralised zones. No significant bias is expected.</p>
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>Lithology, colour, alteration, shearing, veining and mineralisation were</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i>	routinely logged from the RC chips and saved in the database. In addition geological events including BOCO (Base of Complete Oxidation) TOSA (Top of Saprock) and TOFR (Top of Fresh Rock) were recorded in each drill hole. Chips from every one metre interval are also placed in chip trays and stored in a designated building at site for future reference. Lithology, colour, alteration, shearing, veining, mineralisation were logged from the AC chips and saved in the database. In addition geological events including BOCO (Base of Complete Oxidation) TOSA (Top of Saprock) and TOFR (Top of Fresh Rock) were recorded in each drill hole. Chips from every one metre interval are also placed in chip trays and stored in a designated building at site for future reference.
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i>	Moolart Well and Rosemont All logging is qualitative except for magnetic susceptibility.
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	Moolart Well and Rosemont All drill holes are logged in full.
<i>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</i>	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	Moolart Well and Rosemont The RC drilling utilised a cyclone and cone splitter to consistently produce 2.5kg to 3.0kg dry samples. The AC drilling utilised a cyclone and single tier riffle splitter to consistently produce 1.5kg to 2.0kg dry samples.
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	Moolart Well and Rosemont Samples are dried, crushed to 10mm, and then pulverised utilising Essa LM1, LM2 or LM5 grinding mills to 85% passing 75µm. This is considered acceptable for an Archaean gold deposit.
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	Moolart Well and Rosemont Certified standards and blanks were inserted every 25 th sample to assess the accuracy and methodology of the external laboratories, and field duplicates were inserted every 20 th sample to assess the repeatability and variability of the gold mineralisation. Laboratory

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></p>	<p>duplicates were also completed roughly every 15th sample to assess the precision of the laboratory as well as the repeatability and variability of the gold mineralisation.</p> <p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>Field RC duplicates were taken at the rig from a second chute on the cone splitter allowing for the duplicate and main sample to be the same size. Field AC duplicates were taken at the rig by spearing the riffle split non-sample fraction. Field duplicates are taken every 20th sample. The results of the field duplicates show an acceptable level of repeatability for an Archaean gold deposit and demonstrated an expected level of nugget effect. Laboratory duplicates (sample preparation split) were also completed roughly every 15th sample to assess the precision of the laboratory as well as the repeatability and variability of the gold mineralisation, with results showing an acceptable level of repeatability for an Archaean gold deposit.</p> <p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>Sample sizes (1.5kg to 3kg) at Moolart Well and Rosemont are considered to be a sufficient size to accurately represent the gold mineralisation based on the mineralisation style (hypogene associated with shearing and supergene enrichment), the width and continuity of the intersections, the sampling methodology, the coarse gold variability (30% to 60% gravity/coarse gold component) and the assay ranges for the gold.</p> <p>Field duplicated have routinely been collected to ensure monitoring of the sub-sampling quality. Acceptable precision and accuracy is noted in the field duplicates albeit the precision is marginally acceptable and consistent with a course gold Archaean gold deposit.</p>
<p><i>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</i></p>	<p><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>All gold assaying completed by external laboratories (MinAnalytical) using 50g charge for fire assay analysis with AAS finish. This technique is industry standard for gold and considered appropriate.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>No geophysical measurements were routinely made.</p> <hr/> <p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>Certified Reference Material (CRM or standards) and blanks were inserted every 25th sample to assess the assaying accuracy of the external laboratories. Field duplicates were inserted every 20th sample to assess the repeatability from the field and variability of the gold mineralisation. Laboratory duplicates were also completed approximately every 15th sample to assess the precision of assaying.</p> <p>Evaluation of both the Regis submitted standards, and the internal laboratory quality control data, indicates assaying to be accurate and without significant drift for significant time periods. Excluding obvious errors, the vast majority of the CRM assaying report shows an overall mean bias of less than 5% with no consistent positive or negative bias noted. Duplicate assaying show high levels of correlation (linear correlation >0.96) and no apparent bias between the duplicate pairs. Field duplicate sample show acceptable levels of correlation and no relative bias.</p> <p>Results of the QAQC sampling were considered acceptable for an Archaean gold deposit. Substantial focus has been given to ensuring sampling procedures met industry best practise to ensure acceptable levels of accuracy and precision were achieved in a course gold environment.</p>
<p><i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i></p>	<p><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>No independent personnel have visually inspected the significant intersections in Aircore or RC chips. Numerous highly qualified and experience company personnel from exploration and production positions have visually inspected the significant intersections in core and RC chips.</p> <hr/> <p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>No twinned holes drilled in the database reported.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>All geological and field data is entered into excel spreadsheets with lookup tables and fixed formatting (and protected from modification) thus only allowing data to be entered using the Regis geological code system and sample protocol. Data is then emailed to the Regis database administrator for validation and importation into a SQL database using Dashed.</p> <hr/> <p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>Any samples not assayed (i.e. destroyed in processing, listed not received) have had the assay value converted to a -9 in the database. Any samples assayed below detection limit (0.01 ppm Au) have been converted to 0.005 ppm (half detection limit) in the database.</p>
<p><i>Location of data points</i></p>	<p><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>Drill hole collar locations were picked up by site-based authorized surveyors using Trimble RTK GPS, calibrated to a base station (expected accuracy of 20mm).</p> <p>Downhole surveying (magnetic azimuth and dip of the drillhole) was measured by the drilling contractors in conjunction with Regis personnel using Pathfinder survey instrument for RC holes and Eastman Single Shot Camera for the AC holes. The surveys were completed every 30m down each RC and AC drill hole with a last reading at the end of the hole. Magnetic azimuth is converted to AMG azimuth (2 degrees) in the database, and AMG azimuth is used in the resource estimation.</p> <hr/> <p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>The grid system is AMG Zone 51 (AGD 84).</p> <hr/> <p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>Survey Graphics Pty Ltd were contracted to generate a digital terrain model (DTM) from aerial photography, and existing drill collar information was used for "ground truthing" to refine the DTM.</p>
<p><i>Data spacing and distribution</i></p>	<p><i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well</p> <p>The nominal drill hole spacing is 25m (northing) by 25m (easting).</p> <p>Rosemont</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></p>	<p>The nominal drill hole spacing is 40m (northing) by 20m (easting).</p> <p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>The data spacing and distribution is sufficient to demonstrate spatial and grade continuity of the mineralised domains to support the definition of Inferred and Indicated Mineral resources under the 2012 JORC code.</p> <p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>No sample compositing has been applied in the field within the mineralised zones.</p>
<p><i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i></p>	<p><i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></p> <p><i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well</p> <p>The drilling is orientated west with a 60 degree dip, which is roughly perpendicular to both the strike and dip of the mineralisation, therefore ensuring intercepts are close to true-width. A knowledge of structural logging in nearby open pits indicates that the shear zone controlling mineralisation is approximately perpendicular to the drilling.</p> <p>Rosemont</p> <p>The drilling is orientated approximately east or west with a 60 degree dip, which is roughly perpendicular to both the strike and at an acceptable angle to the dip of the mineralisation (Rosemont mineralisation dip is - 90°), therefore generating intercepts which are wider than true-width but representative.</p>
<p><i>Sample security</i></p>	<p><i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>Samples are securely sealed and stored onsite, until delivery to Perth via McMahon Burnett Transport, who then also delivers the samples directly to the laboratory. Sample submission forms are sent with the samples as well as emailed to the laboratory, and are used to keep track of the sample batches.</p>
<p><i>Audits or reviews</i></p>	<p><i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well and Rosemont</p> <p>No independent site visits or audits undertaken.</p>

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<p><i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></p> <p><i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well</p> <p>The Moolart Well gold mine comprises M38/498, M38/499, M38/500 and M38/943, and area of 31.23 km² (3,122.9 hectares). Moolart Well has been operating as a gold mine since August 2010.</p> <p>Normal Western Australian state royalties apply and a further 2% NSR royalty exists to a third party.</p> <p>Current registered holders of the tenements are Regis Resources Ltd and Duketon Resources Pty Ltd (100% owned by Regis). There are no registered Native Title Claims.</p> <p>Rosemont</p> <p>The Rosemont gold mine comprises M38/237, M38/250 and M38/343, an area of 16.83 km² (1,683 hectares). The Rosemont ore body is currently being developed as an operating gold mine. Commissioning commenced in the September 2013 quarter.</p> <p>Normal Western Australian state royalties apply and a further 2% NSR royalty exists to a third party.</p> <p>Current registered holders of the tenements are Regis Resources Ltd and Duketon Resources Pty Ltd (100% owned by Regis). There are no registered Native Title Claims.</p>
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	<p>Moolart Well</p> <p>Moolart Well was discovered in 2001 by Normandy and Newmont. Newmont drilled the deposit until 2005. From 2006 Regis conducted all further Resource definition work.</p> <p>Rosemont</p> <p>The Rosemont gold deposit was discovered in the 1980s and was partially mined as a shallow oxide open pit by Aurora Gold Limited in the early 1990s. Reported production was 222kt at 2.65g/t for 18,600 ounces</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>of gold. The ground was then acquired by Johnsons Well Mining who defined a resource at Rosemont in the late 1990's. The resource at Rosemont has been held outright by Regis since 2006. Regis has conducted further drilling at Rosemont and defined a maiden gold Reserve in November 2011.</p>
<p><i>Geology</i></p>	<p><i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well</p> <p>Moolart Well is an Archaean orogenic gold deposit located on the eastern limb of the Erlistoun syncline in the Duketon Greenstone Belt. Moolart Well is a blind gold deposit with several styles of gold occurring within the regolith profile. In transported regolith extending to 20m depth, a Laterite Ore Zone is defined by a coherent sub-horizontal gold blanket consisting of colluvial ironstone and pisolites in a clayey iron rich matrix. The Laterite Zone has an average thickness of 4m, extends over 4km N-S and 1km E-W and in some areas extends within 2m of the surface. Below the Laterite Zone in the residual regolith is the Oxide Zone extending from 20 to 70m vertical depth with a similar lateral extent to the Laterite Zone. Oxide mineralisation consists of numerous primary moderate to steep 60° east dipping gold bearing structures preserved in the clay rich residual profile and sub-horizontal supergene gold developed in the lower part of the profile. Host rocks for the Oxide Zone are a sequence of moderate to steep east dipping Archaean mafic rocks, including basalt and dolerite sills, and ultramafic flow sequence, intruded by late stage high level diorite and quartz-diorite sills and dykes. Primary hypogene gold mineralisation exists below the Oxide Zone but has been poorly drilled to date.</p> <p>Rosemont</p> <p>Rosemont is an Archaean orogenic gold deposit hosted in a quartz dolerite zone of a dolerite sill intruding ultramafic and argillaceous sedimentary units of the western limb of the Erlistoun Syncline in the Duketon Greenstone Belt. Gold mineralisation is associated with moderately sheared quartz dolerite with carbonate-pyrite-chlorite alteration. Most gold occurs below the weathered profile in saprock and fresh rock with the upper saprolite being leached of gold. The mineralisation trends NNW over a strike length of 2.5km and dips steeply</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		at 85° west.
Drill hole Information	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> <i>hole length.</i> <p><i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well</p> <p>Drill hole exploration results and hole locations dip and azimuth are detailed in Table 1 and Table 2 of the December 2013 Quarterly Report.</p> <p>Rosemont</p> <p>Drill hole exploration results and hole locations dip and azimuth are detailed in Table 3 of the December 2013 Quarterly Report.</p>
Data aggregation methods	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p> <p><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></p> <p><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well</p> <p>Reported intercepts include a minimum of 0.5 g/t Au value over a minimum distance of 1m with a maximum 2m consecutive internal waste. No upper cuts have been applied.</p> <p>Rosemont</p> <p>Reported intercepts include a minimum of 0.5 g/t Au value over a minimum distance of 1m with a maximum 2m consecutive internal waste. No upper cuts have been applied.</p>
Relationship between mineralization widths and intercept lengths	<p><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></p> <p><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true</i></p>	<p>Moolart Well</p> <p>The Moolart Well drill holes were drilled at -60° to the west and the mineralised zone dips at 60° to the east so the intercepts reported are slightly greater than the true mineralised width.</p> <p>Rosemont</p> <p>The Rosemont drill holes were drilled at -60° to 078° and the mineralised</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>width not known</i> ’).	zone dips at 90° to 075° so the intercepts reported are apparent thicknesses that do not represent true width.
<i>Diagrams</i>	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	A significant discovery is not being reported. The results are based on extensional and infill drilling of known deposits.
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Moolart Well Refer to Table 1 and Table 2 of the December 2013 Quarterly Report. Rosemont Refer to Table 3 of the December 2013 Quarterly Report.
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	Moolart Well No other material exploration data to report. Rosemont No other material exploration data to report.
<i>Further work</i>	<i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i>	Moolart Well The Moolart Well gold resource extends over a N-S strike length of 4km. The southern half of the deposit is well drilled to the Top of Fresh Rock (TOFR) to define oxide ore. The northern half requires further drilling to fully define oxide gold resources and will be drilled in 2014. Hypogene gold mineralisation below TOFR has only been poorly tested. There are plans to start drill testing for hypogene gold mineralisation beneath the oxide zone in the later part of 2014. Rosemont The Rosemont gold deposit is still open at the south and north ends. Further drilling is planned in the first half of 2014 to further define the limits of gold mineralisation at the southern end.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	Work is ongoing to define possible extensions and is considered commercially sensitive at this time.

Appendix 5B

Mining exploration entity and oil and gas exploration entity quarterly report

Introduced 01/07/96 Origin Appendix 8 Amended 01/07/97, 01/07/98, 30/09/01, 01/06/10, 17/12/10, 01/05/2013

Name of entity

Regis Resources Limited

ABN

28 009 174 761

Quarter ended ("current quarter")

31 December 2013

Consolidated statement of cash flows

Cash flows related to operating activities	Current quarter \$A'000	Year to date (6 months) \$A'000
1.1 Receipts from product sales and related debtors	99,056	205,514
1.2 Payments for:		
(a) exploration & evaluation	(3,337)	(9,627)
(b) development*	(33,643)	(58,353)
(c) production	(54,375)	(103,690)
(d) administration	(1,109)	(2,625)
1.3 Dividends received	-	-
1.4 Interest and other items of a similar nature received	248	735
1.5 Interest and other costs of finance paid	(142)	(221)
1.6 Income taxes paid	-	-
1.7 Other (provide details if material)		
(a) Option premium income	2,768	2,949
(b) Other	559	561
Net Operating Cash Flows	10,025	35,243
Cash flows related to investing activities		
1.8 Payment for purchases of:		
(a) prospects	-	-
(b) equity investments	-	-
(c) other fixed assets	(1,784)	(12,403)
1.9 Proceeds from sale of:		
(a) prospects	-	-
(b) equity investments	-	-
(c) other fixed assets	-	-
1.10 Loans to other entities	-	-
1.11 Loans repaid by other entities	-	-
1.12 Other (provide details if material):		
(a) Payments for mine property development	(16,799)	(20,194)
(b) Other	7	7
Net investing cash flows	(18,576)	(32,590)
1.13 Total operating and investing cash flows (carried forward)	(8,551)	2,653

* Includes capitalised pre-production expenditure for the period.

		Current quarter \$A'000	Year to date (6 months) \$A'000
1.13	Total operating and investing cash flows (brought forward)	(8,551)	2,653
Cash flows related to financing activities			
1.14	Proceeds from issues of shares, options, etc.	402	2,141
1.15	Proceeds from sale of forfeited shares	-	-
1.16	Proceeds from borrowings	9,990	9,990
1.17	Repayment of borrowings	-	-
1.18	Dividends paid	(74,671)	(74,671)
1.19	Other (provide details if material) (a) Share issue costs	(10)	(19)
Net financing cash flows		(64,289)	(62,559)
Net increase (decrease) in cash held		(72,840)	(59,906)
1.20	Cash at beginning of quarter/year to date	74,154	61,220
1.21	Exchange rate adjustments to item 1.20	-	-
1.22	Cash at end of quarter*	1,314	1,314

* Not included in cash at end of quarter is gold on hand of 8,448oz at \$1,404/oz for \$11.9 million.

Payments to directors of the entity, associates of the directors, related entities of the entity and associates of the related entities

		Current quarter \$A'000
1.23	Aggregate amount of payments to the parties included in item 1.2	211
1.24	Aggregate amount of loans to the parties included in item 1.10	-
1.25	Explanation necessary for an understanding of the transactions	

+ See chapter 19 for defined terms.

Non-cash financing and investing activities

- 2.1 Details of financing and investing transactions which have had a material effect on consolidated assets and liabilities but did not involve cash flows

Nil.

- 2.2 Details of outlays made by other entities to establish or increase their share in projects in which the reporting entity has an interest

Nil.

Financing facilities available

Add notes as necessary for an understanding of the position.

	Amount available \$A'000	Amount used \$A'000
3.1 Loan facilities	10,000	10,000
3.2 Credit standby arrangements	-	-

Estimated cash outflows for next quarter

	\$A'000
4.1 Exploration and evaluation	4,145
4.2 Development	22,738
4.3 Production	67,843
4.4 Administration	1,160
Total	95,886

* Does not include any receipts from operations.

Reconciliation of cash

Reconciliation of cash at the end of the quarter (as shown in the consolidated statement of cash flows) to the related items in the accounts is as follows.

	Current quarter \$A'000	Previous quarter \$A'000
5.1 Cash on hand and at bank	1,314	74,154
5.2 Deposits at call	-	-
5.3 Bank overdraft	-	-
5.4 Other (provide details)	-	-
Total: cash at end of quarter (item 1.22)	1,314	75,154

** Not included in cash at end of quarter is gold on hand of 8,448oz at \$1,404/oz for \$11.9 million (Previous quarter: 12,185oz at \$1,500/oz for \$18.3 million)

Changes in interests in mining tenements and petroleum tenements

	Tenement reference and location	Nature of interest (note (2))	Interest at beginning of quarter	Interest at end of quarter
6.1 Interests in mining tenements and petroleum tenements relinquished, reduced or lapsed	E38/1953	Relinquished	100%	0%
	P38/3603	Relinquished	100%	0%
	P38/3533	Relinquished	100%	0%
	P38/3534	Relinquished	100%	0%
	P38/3159	Expired	100%	0%
6.2 Interests in mining tenements and petroleum tenements acquired or increased	M38/1258	Granted	100%	100%
	M38/1265	Application	0%	100%
	E38/2833	Granted	100%	100%
	E38/2857	Granted	100%	100%
	L38/226	Granted	100%	100%
	P38/4073	Granted	100%	100%
	P38/4074	Granted	100%	100%
	P38/4075	Granted	100%	100%
	P38/4076	Granted	100%	100%

Supplementary information required under Listing Rule 5.3.3 is provided at the end of this report.

Issued and quoted securities at end of current quarter

Description includes rate of interest and any redemption or conversion rights together with prices and dates.

	Total number	Number quoted	Issue price per security (see note 3)	Amount paid up per security (see note 3)
7.1 Preference + securities	-	-	-	-
7.2 Changes during quarter				
(a) Increases through issues	-	-	-	-
(b) Decreases through returns of capital, buy-backs, redemptions	-	-	-	-
7.3 +Ordinary securities	497,987,384	497,987,384	-	-
7.4 Changes during quarter				
(a) Increases through issues	322,591 87,019 250,000 1,149,664	322,591 87,019 250,000 1,149,664	\$0.5000 \$0.1348 \$0.4205 \$1.0000	\$0.5000 \$0.1348 \$0.4205 \$1.0000
(b) Decreases through returns of capital, buy-backs	-	-	-	-
7.5 +Convertible debt securities	-	-	-	-

+ See chapter 19 for defined terms.

Appendix 5B

Mining exploration entity and oil and gas exploration entity quarterly report

	Total number	Number quoted	Issue price per security (see note 3)	Amount paid up per security (see note 3)
7.6 Changes during quarter (a) Increases through issues (b) Decreases through securities matured, converted	- -	- -	- -	- -
7.7 Options (description and conversion factor)	1,790,771	1,790,771	<i>Exercise price</i> \$0.5000	<i>Expiry date</i> 31 Jan. 2014
	37,500		\$1.0000	29 Sep. 2014
	600,000		\$2.2300	29 Apr. 2015
	575,000		\$2.7500	8 Nov. 2015
	500,000		\$3.0000	8 Nov. 2015
	250,000		\$3.9300	2 Feb. 2016
	980,000		\$4.0000	30 Jun. 2016
	1,910,000		\$3.5000	31 July 2017
7.8 Issued during quarter	-	-	-	-
7.9 Exercised during quarter	322,591	322,591	\$0.5000	31 Jan. 2014
	90,000	-	\$0.1348	4 Feb. 2014
	250,000	-	\$0.4205	30 Jun. 2014
	1,336,146	-	\$1.0000	29 Sep. 2014
7.10 Expired during quarter	-	-	-	-
7.11 Debentures (totals only)	-	-		
7.12 Unsecured notes (totals only)	-	-		

Compliance statement

- 1 This statement has been prepared under accounting policies which comply with accounting standards as defined in the Corporations Act or other standards acceptable to ASX (see note 5).
- 2 This statement does give a true and fair view of the matters disclosed.

Sign here: _____

 (Company Secretary)

Date: 30 January 2014 _____

Print name: Kim Massey

Notes

- 1 The quarterly report provides a basis for informing the market how the entity's activities have been financed for the past quarter and the effect on its cash position. An entity wanting to disclose additional information is encouraged to do so, in a note or notes attached to this report.
- 2 The "Nature of interest" (items 6.1 and 6.2) includes options in respect of interests in mining tenements and petroleum tenements acquired, exercised or lapsed during the reporting period. If the entity is involved in a joint venture agreement and there are conditions precedent which will change its percentage interest in a mining tenement or petroleum tenement, it should disclose the change of percentage interest and conditions precedent in the list required for items 6.1 and 6.2.
- 3 **Issued and quoted securities** The issue price and amount paid up is not required in items 7.1 and 7.3 for fully paid securities.
- 4 The definitions in, and provisions of, *AASB 6: Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources* and *AASB 107: Statement of Cash Flows* apply to this report.
- 5 **Accounting Standards** ASX will accept, for example, the use of International Financial Reporting Standards for foreign entities. If the standards used do not address a topic, the Australian standard on that topic (if any) must be complied with.

== == == == ==

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION REQUIRED UNDER ASX LISTING RULE 5.3.3
QUARTER ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2013
INTERESTS IN MINING TENEMENTS

Tenement	Location	Tenement Status	Regis Resources Beneficial Interest
E38/1046	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/1096	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/1689	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/1914	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/1939	Collurabbie (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	80.00%
E38/1952	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/1954-1957	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/1988-1992	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/1994-1997	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/1999	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	70.00%
E38/2001	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/2002	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	51.00%
E38/2003	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/2004	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	71.22%
E38/2005	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	80.00%
E38/2006	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/2243	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/2298	Collurabbie (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/2681-2683	Collurabbie (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/2723	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/2779	Collurabbie (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	90.00%
E38/2808-2810	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/2813-2814	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Application	100.00%
E38/2830	Collurabbie (North of Laverton), WA	Application	100.00%
E38/2832-2833	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/2857	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
E38/2870-2871	Collurabbie (North of Laverton), WA	Application	100.00%
E38/961	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
EL 5760	Blayney, NSW	Granted	100.00%
EL 6111	Blayney, NSW	Granted	100.00%
EL 7878	Orange, NSW	Granted	100.00%
EL 8120	Blayney, NSW	Granted	100.00%
G38/29-30	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
G38/31	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	70.00%
G38/32	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/116	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/126-129	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/131	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/133-143	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/155-156	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/170	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/181-182	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/184	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/189-194	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/200-204	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/212	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/216-217	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/219	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/221-222	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/226	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/228	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/29	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%

L38/47	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/49	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/73	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
L38/85	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/1091	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	80.00%
M38/1092	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/1096	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/114	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/1247	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/1249-1251	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/1257-1259	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/1260	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Application	70.00%
M38/1261-1265	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Application	100.00%
M38/237	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/250	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/262	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/283	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/292	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/302-303	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/316-317	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/319	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/341	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/343-344	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/352	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/354	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/407	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/413-415	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	71.22%
M38/488	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/498-500	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/515	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/589-590	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/600-601	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	70.00%
M38/630	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/802	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/837	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/889	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/939-940	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
M38/943	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3377-3378	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3407-3414	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	51.00%
P38/3418-3426	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	71.22%
P38/3427-3430	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	51.00%
P38/3439-3476	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3478	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3480-3481	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3485-3487	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3508-3511	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3513-3515	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3521	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3528-3532	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3535-3536	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3538-3539	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3542-3545	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3547-3551	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3557	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3571	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3576-3579	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	70.00%
P38/3580-3581	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3582	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	97.00%
P38/3584	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%

P38/3602	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3604-3607	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3629-3636	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	97.00%
P38/3639-3640	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3814-3816	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3877-3879	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3906	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3928	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3941-3944	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3949-3950	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3953-3954	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/3996-3998	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/4027	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/4038-4040	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/4052-4054	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/4059-4063	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/4073-4076	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Granted	100.00%
P38/4104	Duketon (North of Laverton), WA	Application	100.00%